THUNDERBOLT CAREER AND TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1069 Thunderbolt Dr. Walterboro, South Carolina 29488 10-12 Career Center GRADES 571 Students ENROLLMENT Bob McKinnon 843-538-5538 DIRECTOR BOARD CHAIR V. Wayne Shider 843-549-5715 SUPERINTENDENT Charles W. Gale Jr. 843-549-5611 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2004 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD EXCELLENT ABSOLUTE RATING: **Absolute Ratings of Career Centers** Below Average Unsatisfactory Excellent Good Average 33 3 3 0 GOOD IMPROVEMENT RATING: ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Excellent	N/A	N/A
2002	Good	Good	N/A
2003	Good	Good	Yes
2004	Excellent	Good	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the state rating for career and technology centers must be Excellent, Good, Above Average, Average or Below Average.

Name			Mastering Core Competencies		Receiving Diplomas		Place in Field			
All Students 704 71.5% 80.6% 136 96.3% 91.9% 137 94.9% 97 Students with disabilities on diploma track 0 N/A 74.2% 0 N/A 86.3% 2 N/S 98 Gender Male 373 68.4% 77.6% 62 96.8% 91.4% 52 96.3% 98 Female 331 74.9% 84.3% 74 95.9% 92.4% 78 94.0% 96 Racial/Ethnic Group White 270 85.2% 85.9% 53 96.2% 95.3% 58 93.6% 98 African-American 415 62.4% 73.3% 79 96.2% 86.6% 69 95.8% 96 Asian/Pacific Islander 5 80.0% 88.9% 2 N/S 96.6% N/AV N/AV N/AV NAM Phispanic 6 33.3% 83.3% 0 N/A 87.2% N/AV N/AV N/AV NAM Phispanic Allaskan 2 N/S 75.0% 1 N/S 100.0% N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AM Phispant Status Migrant Status Migrant Status Migrant Status Migrant Proficiency Limited English Proficient 3 N/S 79.5% 0 N/A 81.0% N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV		This	Center		This	Center		This (Center	State Center
704 71.5% 80.6% 136 96.3% 91.9% 137 94.9% 97 Students with disabilities on diploma track 0 N/A 74.2% 0 N/A 86.3% 2 I/S 98 Gender Male 373 68.4% 77.6% 62 96.8% 91.4% 52 96.3% 98 Female 331 74.9% 84.3% 74 95.9% 92.4% 78 94.0% 96 Racial/Ethnic Group White 270 85.2% 85.9% 53 96.2% 95.3% 58 93.6% 98 African-American 415 62.4% 73.3% 79 96.2% 86.6% 69 95.8% 96 Asian/Pacific Islander 5 80.0% 88.9% 2 I/S 96.6% N/AV N/AV N Hispanic 6 33.3% 83.3% 0 N/A 87.2% N/AV N/AV		n	%		n	%		n	%	Verage%
Students with disabilities on diploma track 0 N/A 74.2% 0 N/A 86.3% 2 I/S 98 Gender Male 373 68.4% 77.6% 62 96.8% 91.4% 52 96.3% 98 Female 331 74.9% 84.3% 74 95.9% 92.4% 78 94.0% 96 Racial/Ethnic Group White 270 85.2% 85.9% 53 96.2% 95.3% 58 93.6% 98 African-American 415 62.4% 73.3% 79 96.2% 86.6% 69 95.8% 96 Asian/Pacific Islander 5 80.0% 88.9% 2 I/S 96.6% N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV	All Students									
Gender O N/A 74.2% 0 N/A 86.3% 2 I/S 98 Gender Male 373 68.4% 77.6% 62 96.8% 91.4% 52 96.3% 98 Female 331 74.9% 84.3% 74 95.9% 92.4% 78 94.0% 96 Racial/Ethnic Group White 270 85.2% 85.9% 53 96.2% 95.3% 58 93.6% 98 African-American 415 62.4% 73.3% 79 96.2% 86.6% 69 95.8% 96 Asian/Pacific Islander 5 80.0% 88.9% 2 I/S 96.6% N/AV N/AV N Hispanic 6 33.3% 83.3% 0 N/A 87.2% N/AV N/AV N American Indian/Alaskan 2 I/S 75.0% 1 I/S 100.0% N/AV N/AV N <		704	71.5%	80.6%	136	96.3%	91.9%	137	94.9%	97.69
Gender Male 373 68.4% 77.6% 62 96.8% 91.4% 52 96.3% 98 Female 331 74.9% 84.3% 74 95.9% 92.4% 78 94.0% 96 Racial/Ethnic Group White 270 85.2% 85.9% 53 96.2% 95.3% 58 93.6% 98 African-American 415 62.4% 73.3% 79 96.2% 86.6% 69 95.8% 96 Asian/Pacific Islander 5 80.0% 88.9% 2 I/S 96.6% MI/AV N/AV N/AV <t< td=""><td>Students with disabilities on di</td><td>ploma 1</td><td>rack</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Students with disabilities on di	ploma 1	rack							
Male 373 68.4% 77.6% 62 96.8% 91.4% 52 96.3% 98.5% Female 331 74.9% 84.3% 74 95.9% 92.4% 78 94.0% 96 Racial/Ethnic Group White 270 85.2% 85.9% 53 96.2% 95.3% 58 93.6% 98 African-American 415 62.4% 73.3% 79 96.2% 86.6% 69 95.8% 96 Asian/Pacific Islander 5 80.0% 88.9% 2 I/S 96.6% N/AV N/AV <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>74.2%</td> <td>0</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>86.3%</td> <td>2</td> <td>I/S</td> <td>98.79</td>		0	N/A	74.2%	0	N/A	86.3%	2	I/S	98.79
Female 331 74.9% 84.3% 74 95.9% 92.4% 78 94.0% 96 Racial/Ethnic Group White 270 85.2% 85.9% 53 96.2% 95.3% 58 93.6% 98 African-American 415 62.4% 73.3% 79 96.2% 86.6% 69 95.8% 96 Asian/Pacific Islander 5 80.0% 88.9% 2 I/S 96.6% N/AV	Gender									
Racial/Ethnic Group White 270 85.2% 85.9% 53 96.2% 95.3% 58 93.6% 98 African-American 415 62.4% 73.3% 79 96.2% 86.6% 69 95.8% 96 Asian/Pacific Islander 5 80.0% 88.9% 2 I/S 96.6% N/AV N/	Male	373	68.4%	77.6%	62	96.8%	91.4%	52	96.3%	98.49
White 270 85.2% 85.9% 53 96.2% 95.3% 58 93.6% 98 African-American 415 62.4% 73.3% 79 96.2% 86.6% 69 95.8% 96 Asian/Pacific Islander 5 80.0% 88.9% 2 I/S 96.6% N/AV N/AV N N/AV N N/AV N N/AV N N/AV N N/AV <	Female	331	74.9%	84.3%	74	95.9%	92.4%	78	94.0%	96.59
African-American 415 62.4% 73.3% 79 96.2% 86.6% 69 95.8% 96 Asian/Pacific Islander 5 80.0% 88.9% 2 I/S 96.6% N/AV	Racial/Ethnic Group									
Asian/Pacific Islander 5 80.0% 88.9% 2 1/S 96.6% N/AV N/AV N N/AV N/AV	White	270	85.2%	85.9%	53	96.2%	95.3%	58	93.6%	98.29
Hispanic 6 33.3% 83.3% 0 N/A 87.2% N/AV N/AV N American Indian/Alaskan 2 I/S 75.0% 1 I/S 100.0% N/AV N/AV N Migrant Status Migrant Non-migrant English Proficiency Limited English Proficient 3 I/S 79.5% 0 N/A 81.0% N/AV N/AV N Non-Limited English Proficient 701 71.6% 81.1% 136 96.3% 92.0% N/AV N/AV N Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 384 68.2% 74.5% 73 95.9% 87.7% 36 90.0% 97	African-American	415	62.4%	73.3%	79	96.2%	86.6%	69	95.8%	96.59
American Indian/Alaskan 2 I/S 75.0% 1 I/S 100.0% N/AV N/AV N/AV Migrant Status Migrant Status Migrant Non-migrant English Proficiency Limited English Proficient 3 I/S 79.5% 0 N/A 81.0% N/AV N/AV N Non-Limited English Proficient 701 71.6% 81.1% 136 96.3% 92.0% N/AV N/AV N Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 384 68.2% 74.5% 73 95.9% 87.7% 36 90.0% 97	Asian/Pacific Islander	5	80.0%	88.9%	2	I/S	96.6%	N/AV	N/AV	N/A
Migrant Status Migrant Non-migrant English Proficiency Value Value Value Value N/AV N/AV <t< td=""><td>Hispanic</td><td>6</td><td>33.3%</td><td>83.3%</td><td>0</td><td>N/A</td><td>87.2%</td><td>N/AV</td><td>N/AV</td><td>N/A</td></t<>	Hispanic	6	33.3%	83.3%	0	N/A	87.2%	N/AV	N/AV	N/A
Migrant Non-migrant English Proficiency Limited English Proficient 3 I/S 79.5% 0 N/A 81.0% N/AV N/AV N/AV N Non-Limited English Proficient 701 71.6% 81.1% 136 96.3% 92.0% N/AV N/AV N Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 384 68.2% 74.5% 73 95.9% 87.7% 36 90.0% 97	American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	75.0%	1	I/S	100.0%	N/AV	N/AV	N/A
Non-migrant English Proficiency Limited English Proficient 3 I/S 79.5% 0 N/A 81.0% N/AV N/AV N Non-Limited English Proficient 701 71.6% 81.1% 136 96.3% 92.0% N/AV N/AV N Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 384 68.2% 74.5% 73 95.9% 87.7% 36 90.0% 97	Migrant Status									
English Proficiency 3 I/S 79.5% 0 N/A 81.0% N/AV	0									
Limited English Proficient 3 I/S 79.5% 0 N/A 81.0% N/AV N/AV N Non-Limited English Proficient 701 71.6% 81.1% 136 96.3% 92.0% N/AV N/AV N Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 384 68.2% 74.5% 73 95.9% 87.7% 36 90.0% 97										
Non-Limited English Proficient 701 71.6% 81.1% 136 96.3% 92.0% N/AV N/AV N Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 384 68.2% 74.5% 73 95.9% 87.7% 36 90.0% 97	•									
Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 384 68.2% 74.5% 73 95.9% 87.7% 36 90.0% 97	· ·	3		79.5%	-	N/A	81.0%	N/AV	N/AV	N/A
Subsidized meals 384 68.2% 74.5% 73 95.9% 87.7% 36 90.0% 97		701	71.6%	81.1%	136	96.3%	92.0%	N/AV	N/AV	N/A
	Subsidized meals									97.19
Full-pay meals 320 75.3% 85.2% 63 96.8% 94.3% 94 96.9% 97 n = number of students on which percentage is calculated	Full-pay meals	320	75.3%	85.2%	63	96.8%	94.3%	94	96.9%	97.79

DEFINITIONS OF PERFORMANCE RATING TERMS

- Mastering Core Competencies-The percentage of students enrolled in career and technology courses at the center who earn a 2.0 or above on the final course grade.
- Graduation Rate-The percentage of 12th grade career and technology students who graduate in the spring.
- Placement Rate-The percentage of career and technology completers available for placement over a 3-year period who are actually placed in postsecondary instruction, military services, or employment.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

	0011101		1001
SCHOOL PROFILE			
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Median Career Center
Students (n= 571)			
With disabilities other than speech Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	1.7% 34.3%	No change Down from 43.4%	1.7% 16.7%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	571	Down from 581	561
Students participating in worked-based experiences	31.7%	Down from 51.5%	35.5%
Teachers (n= 15)			
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	13.3% 60.0%	Up from 6.7% Down from 73.3%	25.0% 79.2%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	N/A 20.0%	N/A	89.2% 8.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	77.9%	Down from 80.3%	89.8%
Teacher attendance rate	88.2%	Down from 93.4%	95.8%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$36,172 21.0 days	Up 2.1% Up from 11.8 days	\$42,385 11.5 days
School			
Director's years at Center Dollars spent per pupil*	2.0 \$2,321	No change Up 39.7%	5.0 \$3,331
Percent of expenditures for	54.8%	Down from 59.6%	54.0%

School			
Director's years at Center	2.0	No change	5.0
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$2,321	Up 39.7%	\$3,331
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	54.8%	Down from 59.6%	54.0%
Parents attending conferences	40.3%	Down from 55.6%	83.3%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools**	100.0%	92.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools**	95.2%	91.1%

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF DIRECTOR AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Students need to take advantage of ALL the opportunities that are available to them in high school. Today's careers demand that students prepare by using their minds and their hands to find success in the technology generation. Whether a student is going to a 2- or 4-year college, the military, or directly into the workplace after graduation, the opportunities available at Thunderbolt Career & Technology Center will be beneficial.

We believe that students need strong academic and technical courses before graduation from high school. Out of the 24 units needed for a high school diploma, at least 4 may be electives focused on a specific career area of interest. This will earn the students a Completer Certificate and give them advanced skill preparation for their future careers while still in high school. In 2004, more than 98% of our program completers were enrolled in a 2- or 4-year college, serving in the military, or employed when surveyed 10 months after graduation from high school.

All Career & Technology programs have a student organization for students to compete and participate in leadership development and community service projects. Each year students are provided the opportunity to compete in their skill area in district, state, and national events from our Center. In 2004, our Culinary Arts Team won the Pro-Start State Championship and finished 9th in the nation competing in Orlando. Florida!

Career & Technology Education is an important part of the total educational process for ALL students. Students need to be given opportunities to make connections between what they are learning in school and how they will apply it in the future. The SC Chamber of Commerce surveyed South Carolina's workplace and stated in Skills Work 2000 that "education is the key to a good job, but only skills unlock the door to success." Academic and technical coursework needs to be integrated so that students see connections and reach their highest potential.

Bob McKinnon, Director Danny Leshowitz- School Improvement Chairman

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	15	89	39			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	85.4%	79.5%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	89.8%	69.2%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations *Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included.	53.3%	87.2%	59.0%			